

Some fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces having t -property

Seher Sultan Yeşilkaya

Department of Mathematics, Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University, Kahramanmaraş, 46040, Turkey
E-mail: sultanseher20@gmail.com

Abstract

In this study, we introduce the new concept of θ_t -contractive and (φ, θ_t) -contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces having t -property. We obtain these theorems without requiring that the metric spaces are complete. Finally, we present some examples to illustrate the new theorems are applicable.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. **47H10**. 54H25.

Keywords. fixed point theorem, θ_t -contractive mapping, t -property.

1 Introduction

Existence of fixed points for contraction mappings in complete metric spaces was introduced by Banach [1], also known as the Banach contraction principle, which claims that if (Y, d) is complete metric spaces and $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a contractive mapping $d(Sz, Sw) \leq Ld(z, w)$ for all $z, w \in Y$ and $L \in [0, 1)$. Several authors introduced various extensions and generalizations of the Banach contraction principle. For example in 2014 Jleli and Samet [2] introduced the following θ -contractive. Defined by Θ is set of functions $\theta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(Θ_1) θ is non-decreasing;

(Θ_2) for each sequence $\{k_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(k_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0^+$;

(Θ_3) there exist $c \in (0, 1)$ and $d \in (0, \infty]$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\theta(k)-1}{k^c} = d$.

According to [3], define by φ the set of functions $\varphi : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(φ_1) $\varphi : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is non-decreasing;

(φ_2) for each $k > 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(k) = 1$;

(φ_3) φ is continuous $[1, \infty)$.

Lemma 1.1. [3] If $\varphi \in \varphi$, then $\varphi(1) = 1$, and for each $k > 1$, $\varphi(k) < k$.

Ran and Reurings [4] introduced a fixed point result on a partially ordered metric space. Thereafter, some results, various extensions and generalizations on partially ordered can be found in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

Rashid, et al. [10], the completeness of the metric space is removed in the given results. To overcome this lack, they introduced that space has the t -property.

Definition 1.2. [10] Let (Y, \preceq) be an ordered set and $z, w \in Y$. z is said to be strict upper bound of w , if $w \preceq z$ and $z \neq w$. We denote it by $w \prec z$.

Definition 1.3. [10] Let (Y, \preceq, d) be an ordered metric space. Y has the t -property if every strictly increasing Cauchy sequence $\{z_n\}$ in Y has a strict upper bound in Y , i.e., there exists $e \in Y$ such that $z_n \prec e$.

In this article, following by Rashid, et al. [10], Zheng et al.[3], Jleli and Samet [2], we introduce some fixed point theorems for new contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces having t property. We obtain these theorems without requiring that the metric spaces are complete.

2 Main results

In this section, we present our main results. First, we give the following θ_t -contractive mapping.

Definition 2.1. Let (Y, \preceq, d) be an ordered metric space and $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and $\theta \in \Theta$. Then we say that S is θ_t -contractive mapping if there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $z, w \in Y$ with $z \neq Sz, w \neq Sw$ and $z \prec w$, we have

$$\theta(d(w, S(w))) \leq [\theta(d(z, S(z)))]^\delta. \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.2. Let (Y, \preceq, d) be an ordered metric space having t property and $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a θ_t -contractive mapping. Assume that S is non-decreasing and there exists $z_0 \in Y$ such that $z_0 \preceq S(z_0)$. Then S has at least one fixed point.

Proof. We have $z_0 \in Y$ such that $z_0 \preceq S(z_0)$. If $z_0 = S(z_0)$ then the proof is completed. Now, choose $z_1 = S(z_0)$ such that $z_0 \prec z_1$. Since S is monotonicity, we have $S(z_0) \preceq S(z_1)$, that is, $z_1 \preceq S(z_1)$. If $z_1 = S(z_1)$ then the proof is complete. Similarly, choose $z_2 = S(z_1)$ such that $z_1 \prec z_2$. Since S is monotonicity, we have $S(z_1) \preceq S(z_2)$, that is, $z_2 \preceq S(z_2)$. Continuous this condition, we have a strictly increasing sequence $\{z_n\}$ in Y such that $z_{n+1} = S(z_n)$. From $z_0 \prec z_1$ and using (2.1), we obtain

$$\theta(d(z_1, S(z_1))) \leq [\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))]^\delta. \quad (2.2)$$

Similarly, from $z_1 \prec z_2$ and using (2.1), we obtain

$$\theta(d(z_2, S(z_2))) \leq [\theta(d(z_1, S(z_1)))]^\delta \leq [\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))]^{\delta^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

From the above inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) &\leq [\theta(d(z_{n-1}, S(z_{n-1})))]^\delta \\ &\leq [\theta(d(z_{n-2}, S(z_{n-2})))]^{\delta^2} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq [\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))]^{\delta^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

On taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) = 1, \quad (2.5)$$

which implies from (Θ_2) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, S(z_n)) = 0^+.$$

From condition (Θ_3) , there exists $p \in (0, 1)$ and $Q \in (0, \infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} = Q. \quad (2.6)$$

Suppose that $Q < \infty$. Then, let $R = \frac{Q}{2} > 0$. We get, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} - Q \right| \leq R, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Which implies that

$$\frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} \geq Q - R = R, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Subsequently, for all $n \geq n_0$, we obtain

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn[\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1],$$

where $T = \frac{1}{R}$. Suppose that $Q = \infty$. Let $R > 0$ be an arbitrary positive number. We get, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} \geq R,$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Which implies that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn[\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1],$$

where $H = \frac{1}{R}$. Thus, in two cases, there exists $T > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn[\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1].$$

Using (2.4), we have

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn([\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))]^{\delta^n} - 1), \quad (2.7)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.7), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p = 0.$$

Therefore, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(z_n, S(z_n)) \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1. \quad (2.8)$$

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n \geq n_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_n, z_m) &\leq d(z_n, z_{n+1}) + d(z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) + \cdots + d(z_{m-1}, z_m) \\ &= d(z_n, S(z_n)) + d(z_{n+1}, S(z_{n+1})) + \cdots + d(z_{m-1}, S(z_{m-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{p}}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\{z_n\}$ is a strictly increasing Cauchy sequence in Y which has t -property. Hence, there exists $e \in Y$ such that $z_n \prec e$. If $S(e) = e$, then, the proof is complete. Suppose on contrary that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(d(e, S(e))) &\leq [\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n)))]^\delta \\ &\leq [\theta(d(z_{n-1}, S(z_{n-1})))]^{\delta^2} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq [\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))]^{\delta^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $d(e, S(e)) = 0$. Therefore, we get $e = S(e)$. Moreover let f be any strict upper bound of $e \in Y$, then $e \prec f$. Using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(d(f, S(f))) &\leq [\theta(d(e, S(e)))]^\delta \\ &\leq \theta(d(e, S(e))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $f = S(f)$, that is, f is also a fixed point of S and so the proof is complete.

Q.E.D.

Definition 2.3. Let (Y, \preceq, d) be an ordered metric space and $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and $\theta \in \Theta$. Then we say that S is (φ, θ_t) -contractive mapping if there exists $\varphi \in \varphi$ such that for all $z, w \in Y$ with $z \neq Sz, w \neq Sw$ and $z \prec w$, we have

$$\theta(d(w, S(w))) \leq \varphi[\theta(d(z, S(z)))]. \quad (2.9)$$

Theorem 2.4. Let (Y, \preceq, d) be an ordered metric space having t property and $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a (φ, θ_t) -contractive mapping. Assume that S is non-decreasing and there exists $z_0 \in Y$ such that $z_0 \preceq S(z_0)$. Then S has at least one fixed point.

Proof. We have $z_0 \in Y$ such that $z_0 \preceq S(z_0)$. If $z_0 = S(z_0)$ then, the proof is complete. Now, choose $z_1 = S(z_0)$ such that $z_0 \prec z_1$. Since S is monotonicity, we have $S(z_0) \preceq S(z_1)$, that is $z_1 \preceq S(z_1)$. If $z_1 = S(z_1)$ then, the proof is complete. Similarly, choose $z_2 = S(z_1)$ such that $z_1 \prec z_2$. Since S is monotonicity, we have $S(z_1) \preceq S(z_2)$, that is, $z_1 \preceq S(z_1)$. Continuous this condition, we have a strictly increasing sequence $\{z_n\}$ in Y such that $z_{n+1} = S(z_n)$. From $z_0 \prec z_1$ and using (2.9), we obtain

$$\theta(d(z_1, S(z_1))) \leq \varphi[\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))]. \quad (2.10)$$

Similarly, from $z_1 \prec z_2$ and using (2.9), we obtain

$$\theta(d(z_2, S(z_2))) \leq \varphi[\theta(d(z_1, S(z_1)))]. \quad (2.11)$$

From the above inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) &\leq \varphi[\theta(d(z_{n-1}, S(z_{n-1})))] \\ &\leq \varphi^2[\theta(d(z_{n-2}, S(z_{n-2})))] \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^n[\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

On taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) = 1, \quad (2.13)$$

which implies from (Θ_2) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, S(z_n)) = 0^+.$$

From condition (Θ_3) , there exists $p \in (0, 1)$ and $Q \in (0, \infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} = Q. \quad (2.14)$$

Suppose that $Q < \infty$. Then, let $R = \frac{Q}{2} > 0$. We get, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} - Q \right| \leq R, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Which implies that

$$\frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} \geq Q - R = R, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Subsequently, for all $n \geq n_0$, we obtain

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn[\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1],$$

where $T = \frac{1}{R}$. Suppose that $Q = \infty$. Let $R > 0$ be an arbitrary positive number. We get, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1}{(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p} \geq R,$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Which implies that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn[\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1],$$

where $T = \frac{1}{R}$. Thus, in two cases, there exists $T > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn[\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n))) - 1].$$

Using (2.12), we have

$$n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p \leq Tn(\varphi^n[\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))] - 1), \quad (2.15)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(d(z_n, S(z_n)))^p = 0.$$

Therefore, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(z_n, S(z_n)) \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1. \quad (2.16)$$

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n \geq n_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_n, z_m) &\leq d(z_n, z_{n+1}) + d(z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) + \cdots + d(z_{m-1}, z_m) \\ &= d(z_n, S(z_n)) + d(z_{n+1}, S(z_{n+1})) + \cdots + d(z_{m-1}, S(z_{m-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{p}}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\{z_n\}$ is a strictly increasing Cauchy sequence in Y which has t -property. Hence, there exists $e \in Y$ such that $z_n \prec e$. If $S(e) = e$, then, the proof is complete. Assume on contrary that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(d(e, S(e))) &\leq \varphi[\theta(d(z_n, S(z_n)))] \\ &\leq \varphi^2[\theta(d(z_{n-1}, S(z_{n-1})))] \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^{n+1}[\theta(d(z_0, S(z_0)))] . \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $d(e, S(e)) = 0$. Therefore we get $e = S(e)$. Moreover let f be any strict upper bound of $e \in Y$, then $e \prec f$. Using (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(d(f, S(f))) &\leq \varphi[\theta(d(e, S(e)))] \\ &< \theta(d(e, S(e))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $f = S(f)$, that is, f is also a fixed point of S and so the proof is complete. \square .E.D.

3 Examples

Example 3.1. Let $Y = \{c_r : c_{r+1} = 5c_r + 1, \text{ for } r \geq 0 \text{ and } c_0 = -1\} \cup (0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $d(z, w) = |z - w|$. So, $Y = \{\dots, -94, -19, -4, -1\} \cup (0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Define an order relation \leq on

Y , where \leq is usual order. Obviously, (Y, \preceq, d) is not complete but has the t -property. Define a mapping $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} 5z + 1, & z \leq -1 \\ z, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, S is non-decreasing. We claim that S are θ_t -contractive and (φ, θ_t) -contractive mappings with $\theta(p) = e^{pe^p}$, $\delta = e^{-4(w-z)}$ and

$$\varphi(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & k \in [1, 2] \\ k - 1, & k \in [2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

To see this, let $z, w \in Y$ with $z < w$. If $w \geq -1$ then $S(w) = w$, that is, $d(w, S(w)) = 0$ and so the proof is completed. Suppose that $z < w \leq -1$. So, $d(w, S(w)) = -(4w + 1)$ and $d(z, S(z)) = -(4z + 1)$. Thus, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Moreover, we obtain $d(S(z), S(w)) > d(z, w)$. Then, using (Θ_1) we obtain $\theta(d(S(z), S(w))) > [\theta(d(z, w))]^\delta$ also, by (φ_1) , $\theta(d(S(z), S(w))) > \varphi[\theta(d(z, w))]$. Therefore, S are not θ -contractive and (φ, θ) -contractive mappings.

Example 3.2. Let $Y = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ and $d(z, w) = |z - w|$. Define an order relation \preceq on Y , where \leq is usual order. Obviously, (Y, \preceq, d) is not complete but has the t -property. Define a mapping $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} 4z, & z < 0 \\ z, & z \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, S is non-decreasing. Let's take the $\varphi(k)$ function as in example 3.1. We claim that S are θ_t -contractive and (φ, θ_t) -contractive mappings with $\theta(p) = e^{pe^p}$, $\delta = e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. To see this, let $z, w \in Y$ with $z < w$. If $w - z \geq 1$ then $S(w) = w$, that is, $d(w, S(w)) = 0$ and so the proof is completed. Suppose that $z < w < 0$. So, $d(w, S(w)) = -3w$ and $d(z, S(z)) = -3z$. Similarly, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Moreover, since a similar process is done as in example 3.1, S are not θ -contractive and (φ, θ) -contractive mappings.

These examples show the new class θ_t -contractive mapping is not included in θ -contractive mapping.

4 Conclusion

Jleli and Samet [2] introduced a new type of contractions called θ -contraction. Rashid, et al. [10], the completeness of the metric space is removed in the given results. To overcome this lack, they introduced that space has the t -property. In this study, we denote a new approach to θ -contraction mappings by combining the ideas of Rashid, et al., Zheng et al.[3], Jleli and Samet. We establish the concept of θ_t -contractive and (φ, θ_t) -contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces without requiring that the metric space is complete, but using the concept of the t -property. We give some examples to illustrate the new theorems are applicable.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] S. Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstracits et leur application aux equations integrales*. Fund. Math., **3** (1922)133–181.
- [2] M. Jleli and B. Samet *A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle*, J. Inequal. Appl., **38** (2014).
- [3] D. Zheng, Z. Cai and P. Wang *New fixed point theorems for $\theta - \varphi$ contraction in complete metric spaces*. Journal of Nonlinear Sciences Applications **10**(5)(2017).
- [4] A.C.M Ran and M.C.B. Reurings *A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some application to matrix equations*, Proc Amer Math Soc., **132** (2004) 1435–1443.
- [5] M. Abbas, T. Nazir and S. Radenovic *Common fixed points of four maps in partially ordered metric spaces*. Appl Math Lett, *249* (2011) 1520–1526.
- [6] R.P. Agarwal, M.A. El-Gebeily and D. O'Regan *Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*. Appl Anal, **87** 1 (2008) 109–116.
- [7] P. Kumam, F. Rouzkard, M. Imdad and D. Gopal *Fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces through a rational contraction*. Abstr Appl Anal, **2013**, (2013) Article ID 206515.
- [8] G. Durmaz, G. Minak and I. Altun *Fixed points of ordered F -contractions*. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, **45** (2016) 15–21.
- [9] G. Minak and I. Altun *Ordered θ -contractions and some fixed point results*. Journal of Nonlinear Functional Analysis **41** (2017).
- [10] T. Rashid, Q.H. Khan, H. Aydi, H. Alsamir and M.S. Noorani, *t -property of metric spaces and fixed point theorems*. Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (2019) 422–433.